

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FIL	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/889,755	1	0/10/2001	Ole Hjertholm	U 013557-0 8934	
140	7590	05/21/2004		EXAMINER	
LADAS & PARRY				PICKARD, ALISON K	
26 WEST 61ST STREET NEW YORK, NY 10023				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,	· <u>·</u> ·· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			3676	
				DATE MAILED: 05/21/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/889,755	HJERTHOLM, OLE	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Alison K. Pickard	3676	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondenc address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period way. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on			
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This	action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E			
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 15 and 18-30 is/are pending in the ap 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 15,18-21 and 26-30 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) 22-25 is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce	epted or b) objected to by the I	Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati ity documents have been receive ı (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary		
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate Patent Application (PTO-152)	

Application/Control Number: 09/889,755

Art Unit: 3676

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 15, 18, and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Watts (2,766,998).

Watts discloses a sealing arrangement comprising two armature members, a sealing ring, and a clamping means (clamp 80 or threads such as in Figure 3). The sealing ring is made of metal and has a T-shaped cross-section with two axial extending wings (e.g. 80 and 82) and a central, rigid stem 67. The wings each have conical sealing faces 84 and 86 that correspond to conical gliding and support faces 76 and 66 in the members. The conical sealing faces extend under an angle greater than that of the support faces to form a tight seal (see, for example, Fig. 5). Each wing has the same axial extent as the faces. Each face is continuous in its axial extent. Each wing is elastically deformable such that after mounting, the wings extend under a different angle (i.e., that of the faces) (see col.5, line 70 through col. 6, line 47). The wings have a cross-section that increases toward the stem and have a large axial cross-section such that they extend along a major area of the respective support faces. As seen in Figure 5, the stem has a large radial and axial cross-section to offer rigidity. As seen in figures 3 or 5, the entire stem and one wing is received and seated in one member and the other wing is received and seated in the other

Art Unit: 3676

member. As seen in the figures (e.g. 3, 5, 16, or 17), one of the members has a cylindrical support face, for the support face of the stem, and is continuously smooth in its axial direction.

3. Claims 26, 27, 29, and 30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Stobbart (5,466,018).

Stobbart discloses a sealing arrangement comprising first 2 and second 3 members, a sealing ring 4, and clamping means 25. the sealing ring includes first 6 and second 7 wings each having radially outward facing sealing faces and an end face extending radially from the sealing faces (as seen near line 10 in Figure 1). The stem has side faces 8a/b and an end face 9b. Each of the members 2 and 3 has guide surfaces for the side faces, conical support faces 12 and 13, and an axial end surface for the end faces (near line 10). One of the members has a cylindrical and smooth outermost lying support face for the end face 9b of the stem. The side faces 8a/b and the end sealing faces are dimensioned to be spaced from the guide surfaces and end guide faces of the elements (see Fig. 4 for example).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 15 and 18-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Galle (5,570,911) in view of Watts.

Galle discloses a sealing arrangement comprising two separate armature members 15, 17, a sealing ring 45, and a clamping device 31. The sealing ring is metal and has a T-shaped cross-

Art Unit: 3676

section with two opposite axial extending wings and a stem. The stem is provided with a gliding and support face 57 that is supported against a counter face 41 in only one of the members 11. Face 41 is continuously smooth in its axial direction. The entire stem is seated in the member 11. The armature members have overlapping portions and support each other along mutually opposite conical support surfaces 37, 65 to provide a stop (seen best in Fig. 6). Galle does not disclose that the sealing ring has wings with conical sealing faces that extend under a different angle than when mounted and are elastically deformable. Watts teaches a sealing arrangement comprising two separate armature members, a sealing ring, and a clamping device. The sealing ring has wings with conical sealing faces. Watts teaches making the sealing faces 84, 86 with first angle that is greater than the angle of the conical support faces 76, 66 on the members. Watts teaches that the wings are elastically deformable so that after mounting, the wings extend at a different angle (i.e. that of the support faces). Watts teaches that the sealing faces have the same continuous, rectilinear, axial extension as the wings (see, for example, Fig. 5 at point C to edge of lip near line A). Watts teaches that this configuration ensures a tight fit between the surfaces (e.g. 76 and 84) creating an effective seal (see col. 6, lines 1-34). Also, with this union, pressure from inside the members further enhances the seal. Therefore, it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the shape of the wings of the sealing member of Galle with the shape and angles taught by Watts to improve the sealing effectiveness of the arrangement.

6. Claim 28 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Stobbart.

Stobbart does not disclose that the space between the guide surfaces is 1-3mm after mounting. This is considered a design choice. It is not considered inventive to discover the

choice in design.

workable or optimum ranges by routine experimentation. See In re Aller, 105 USPQ 233, 235 (CCPA 1955). Therefore, it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to make the space between the guide surfaces 1-3mm as a matter of

Allowable Subject Matter

7. Claims 22-25 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Response to Arguments

8. Applicant's arguments filed 3-18-04 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

In response to Applicant's arguments that Watts and Galle do not provide a smooth continuous gliding support, the examiner disagrees. As seen in most of the Figures of Watts, there is a support face that is smooth (i.e. not threaded). This face is continuously smooth in its axial extent. Considering Applicant's Figure 1a and the disclosure, portion "22" has been identified as the support face (which is continuously smooth). The examiner notes that "22" is only a portion of the armature member 11 surface and therefore is not continuous to the end of the member 11 (further supported in that remaining portions of member 11 have been identified by other numbers such as 26a and 26b). Further, portion 26b has a greater diameter than 22, thus 22 technically is not the outermost face. Therefore, the surface of Watts is considered "a radially facing cylindrical outermost lying support face" that "extends continuously in an axial direction" and is "smooth" as Applicant's surface 22 has been set forth. The same applies for Galle.

′

Application/Control Number: 09/889,755 Page 6

Art Unit: 3676

Further, both surfaces of Watts and Galle provide gliding support in that the stem could move or rotate against these surfaces. Portion 39 of Galle could also be considered a smooth gliding surface which the stem would glide against during installation.

Conclusion

9. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alison K. Pickard whose telephone number is 703-305-0882. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (10-7:30), with alternate Friday's off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Judy Swann can be reached on 703-306-4115. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Alison K. Pickard Primary Examiner Art Unit 3676